

## 2022 Quality Benchmarks Zip File Version History

**Purpose:** This resource identifies changes made to the contents of 2022 Quality Benchmarks zip file since it was posted. (Each file contains its own history as well.)

### Version History

Date	Resource In Zip File	Change Description
2/2/2023	2022 MIPS Historical Quality Benchmarks	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Removed historical benchmarks (eCQM collection type only) for Quality IDs 005, 113, 134, 236, 239, 281 due to ICD-10 coding changes that took effect 10/1/2022.</li> <li>2. Noted that Quality ID 366 (eCQM collection type) is suppressed and won't be scored against a benchmark due to ICD-10 coding changes that took effect 10/1/2022; no historical benchmark existed.</li> <li>3. Removed the historical benchmark for IRIS2; specification was changed in PY 2021, and historical benchmark shouldn't have been created. Measure will be eligible for scoring against a performance period benchmark if one can be created.</li> </ol>
4/26/2022	Preparing for 2023_Informational Benchmarks Zip File	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. Original posting. To help MIPS eligible clinicians prepare for scoring in the 2023 performance period, we're providing an informational benchmark file (and fact sheet) that includes the performance rates associated with Deciles 1 - 10 for measures with a historical benchmark for the 2022 performance period.</li> </ol>
4/26/2022	2022 MIPS Historical Quality Benchmarks	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Removed benchmark for Quality ID 326 (MIPS CQM) because this measure is being suppressed for the 2022 performance period.</li> <li>2. Changed the 7 point scoring cap value from "Yes" to "No" for Quality ID 117 (Medicare Part B Claims) due to its suppression in the 2021 performance period. This measure is now eligible to earn up to 10 points for the 2022 performance period.</li> <li>3. Corrected the lower bound of Decile 3 for Quality ID 001 (all collection types) from 79.99 to 80.00. We don't anticipate any scoring impact, but if any is observed, it would result in a higher score.</li> <li>4. Corrected the average performance rate value for ACEP50 from "0" to "--". (This measure doesn't have a benchmark.)</li> </ol>

Original Posting: 1/5/2022



		5. Corrected partial points formula on scoring examples slide. (in the graphic, "b" was previously identified as "top of decile range". Note that the change didn't affect partial points awarded.)
2/8/2022	2022 MIPS Historical Quality Benchmarks	<p>1. <b>MIPS Benchmark Results tab.</b> The originally posted benchmarks didn't include data from opt-in eligible clinicians and groups that elected to opt-in to MIPS. We've updated the benchmarks to include their data which resulted in the creation of historical benchmarks for 5 QCDR measures (AAO16, AAO20, CDR2, CDR6, and UREQA1). In addition to these newly created benchmarks, we saw some changes to the decile ranges for existing benchmarks. Most changes to decile ranges were minor. You may see more significant decile shifts for measures heavily reported by clinicians and groups that opted in. Finally, there is one measure (Quality ID 419) that's no longer topped out or capped at 7 points after including data from opt-in eligible clinicians and groups that elected to opt-in to MIPS.</p> <p>2. <b>Scoring Examples tab.</b> Scoring examples 1 and 3 were updated to align with revised benchmarks.</p>
1/5/2022	Version History Document	Original posting.
12/29/2021	2022 CAHPS for MIPS Historical Benchmarks	Original posting.
12/29/2021	2022 MIPS Quality Benchmarks Fact Sheet	Original posting.
12/29/2021	2022 Multiple Performance Rate Measures	Original posting.
12/29/2021	2022 MIPS Historical Quality Benchmarks	Original Posting.

Original Posting: 1/5/2022